



Centre for Energy and
Environmental Markets

UNSW
THE UNIVERSITY OF NEW SOUTH WALES
SYDNEY • AUSTRALIA



Distributed resource participation in the Australian National Electricity Market

A seminar presented at
Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Presented by
Hugh Outhred
4 March 2005



Outline

- A long-term vision for distributed resource participation in electricity industries
- Current state of play in the Australian NEM
- Current initiatives & future prospects in Australia
- Conclusions & recommendations




A vision for distributed resource (DR) participation in the electricity industry

- Equal consideration for distributed resources:
 - In all aspects of electricity industry operation & planning
 - Such that industry outcomes are economically efficient & socially & environmentally sound
- An appropriate balance and compatibility between:
 - Centralised decision-making (engineering and policy):
 - From the short term (engineering) to the long term (policy)
 - Decentralised decision-making (commercial):
 - Operation and investment decisions



Equal consideration of options in the stationary energy sector






Challenges in managing risks to future end-use energy service delivery

- Compatibility between engineering, commercial & policy approaches to managing risks:
 - Ancillary services must manage short-term risks:
 - Need to maintain electricity industry security
 - Need smooth hand-over from engineering to commercial decision-making
- Compatibility between policy and commercial approaches to managing risk:
 - These may occur in parallel to a long-term horizon:
 - Difficult to achieve compatibility
 - The traditional *obligation to serve* is a barrier to DR



Present NEM regional model


(Based on NEMMCO, 1997)





Centralised & decentralised decision-making

(requires adequate location detail & active demand-side involvement)






Power system security definitions

(National Electricity Code Chapter 4)

- Satisfactory operating state:
 - Frequency “normal” (49.9-50.1Hz), except for brief excursions within 49.75-50.25Hz
 - Voltage magnitudes within specified limits
 - All equipment operating within equipment rating
 - Contingencies (equipment outages):
 - Credible, eg single generator or network element (N-1)
 - Non-credible, eg multiple outages except abnormal condns
 - Secure operating state:
 - Currently in a satisfactory operating state
 - Would return to a satisfactory operating state following any single credible contingency (consider loss of largest gen / interconnector)
- => Require sufficient FCAS available to cover sudden loss of largest generation unit / interconnector within each NEM region**



Supply-demand balance in the electricity industry if network effects are ignored




- Frequency is a measure of supply-demand balance:
 - Rate of change of KE = turbine mechanical power - electrical power
- Power flows & network availability are stochastic processes:
 - Hence frequency is always varying
- A typical issue:- wind farms make frequency more variable:
 - Does this matter & if so, who should pay for additional control action?




NEM frequency control ancillary services

Regulation	<i>Regulation Raise</i> <i>Regulation Lower</i>
Contingency	<i>Fast Raise and Fast Lower</i> (Six second response to arrest the immediate frequency deviation) <i>Slow Raise and Slow Lower</i> (Sixty second response to keep the frequency within the single contingency band) <i>Delayed Raise and Delayed Lower</i> (Five minute response to return the frequency to the Normal Operating Band)





frequency control & NEM 5-30 minute spot market





NEM design philosophy for frequency & angle-related aspects of security


- Engineering decision-making:
 - Control system design approach for:
 - Continuous small disturbances
 - Credible large disturbances
 - Disaster management approach for:
 - Non-credible large disturbances
- Commercial decision-making:
 - Five-minute dispatch pricing gives rapid hand-over
 - Market flow constraints between regions include angle-related security constraints
- Both categories provide opportunities for DR




Current transformer (CT) failure

21:42, Friday 13/8/04 causes 6 NSW generators to trip totalling 3100MW: frequency fell to 48.9Hz, ~2100 MW load shed in NSW, Qld, Vic & SA
(www.nemmco.com.au)

Figure 1-5: Power System Frequency



NSW1 5 minute Demand and Price for period 13/08/2004 00:00 to 14/08/2004 14:20






Decision making following the CT failure

- Initial engineering response:
 - Load shed by prearranged under-frequency protection
 - Generator output increased via frequency control (FCAS)
- Transformed into initial commercial response:
 - Energy & FCAS offer stack & flow constraints reflect the outage within 5 minutes:- initiating commercial response
- Long-term commercial and policy responses:
 - Not clear if derivative market behaviour responded
 - As yet no policy response:
 - Was DR contribution adequately compensated?

Node	Pk Ld (MW)	Gen (MW)	Net Gen (MW)
NQ	1250	800	- 450
CQ	1900	4150	2250
SWQ	200	2150	1950
SEQ	4350	1450	- 2900
NNS	800	150	- 650
NCEN	10000	11650	1650
CAN	800	300	- 500
SNY	800	3900	3100
MEL	5750	800	- 4950
LV	900	7000	6100
POR	650	0	- 650
SESA	100	150	50
RIV	500	50	- 450
ADE	2100	2250	150
NSA	200	1100	900
TAS	1500	2500	1000


16 region NEM model

(NEMMCO SOO, 2004)






NSW bushfires Dec 02: lines with multiple trips shown in red





Sydney region voltages during 12/02 bushfire outages







NEM management of voltage disturbances

- Initial engineering response:
 - Reactive power production increased where available
 - Load shed by preset under-voltage protection
- Not transformed into commercial response:
 - NEM spot energy market uses transport flow model
- Long-term commercial and policy responses:
 - As yet no policy intent to integrate engineering & commercial management of voltage disturbances
 - Instead, poor reliability & quality are key drivers for network investment



August 2003 North American Blackout (final report summary)





August 2003 North American Blackout (final report summary)



16:05:57




16:05:58




16:09:25




16:10:37




16:10:39



16:10:40



16:10:41



16:10:44



16:10:45



16:13:00



The critical role of voltage in an electricity industry

- Voltage is an important measure of the quality of electrical energy:
 - A technical measure:- equipment may malfunction outside its design voltage range
 - A commercial measure:- risk of non-delivery of energy services (end-user) or inability to produce (generator)
- Voltage is shared by all participants at a node and may be a scarce resource after a contingency:
 - Technical rationing via under/over voltage protection
 - Market rationing via bid & offer functions



AC loadflow with voltage-value functions (an alternative to specifying voltage constraints)

- Outside a preferred voltage range:
 - A generator wants greater compensation
 - A consumer won't pay as much
- bid (offer) price = [VVF]x[standard offer]
 - Where the voltage-value function (VVF) used for these studies was (Pamudji, 1995):

$$VVF = \begin{cases} 1 + (V_{\min} - V)^3 & \text{if } V < V_{\min} \\ 1 & \text{if } V_{\min} < V < V_{\max} \\ 1 + (V - V_{\max})^3 & \text{if } V > V_{\max} \end{cases}$$




Effect of VVF's on bids & offers

(Pamudji, 1995)

Bid VVF


(a consumer won't pay as much outside a preferred voltage range)



Under-voltage
Protection
Setting

Offer VVF

(a generator wants greater compensation outside a preferred voltage range)







VVF vs technical regulation of voltage

(Kim, 2005)

Node	Technical Regulation	VVF-B	VVF-S1	VVF-S2
		$\alpha_I = \beta_I = 5000$	$\alpha_I = \beta_I = 100000$	$\alpha_I = \beta_I = 500$
N1	1.050	1.054	1.051	1.062
N2	1.037	1.041	1.038	1.050
N3	0.979	0.984	0.980	0.993
N4	0.973	0.978	0.974	0.987
N5	0.960	0.965	0.961	0.974





Conclusions on DR contribution to NEM

- Two important issues in valuing DR:
 - Quality of supply, particularly voltage & frequency
 - Obligation to serve (*externalities also important*)
- DR role can be facilitated by coordinated technical & market mechanisms:
 - NEM manages frequency better than voltage:
 - Voltage is a primarily a retail market issue & politically charged
 - VVF model offers a possible way forward for voltage but we need to explore market behaviour first



Centre for Energy and
Environmental Markets

UNSW
THE UNIVERSITY OF NEW SOUTH WALES
SYDNEY • AUSTRALIA